Hospital-Based Assessment of Depression and Suicide

Itai Danovitch, MD, MBA Chairman, Dept of Psychiatry & Behavioral Neurosciences

Rosanne Arnold, MBA Associate Director, Dept of Psychiatry & Behavioral Neurosciences



CEDARS-SINAI® Leading the quest"

cedars-sinai.edu

- Why screen for depression?
- Why screen for depression in general medical hospitals?
- What are the regulatory requirements around depression and suicide?
- Case Example: Cedars-Sinai
- Future directions



• Why screen for depression?

- Why screen for depression in general medical hospitals?
- What are the regulatory requirements around depression and suicide?
- Case Example: Cedars-Sinai
- Future directions



Mental health and substance use disorders are the leading cause of disease burden in the U.S.



Age standardized disability adjusted life years (DALYs) rate per 100,000 population, both sexes, 2015



CEDARS-SINAL

Source: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global Burden of Disease Study 2015 (GBD 2015). Available at: http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-2015

Comorbidity is common



Source: Adapted from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication, 2001–2003 (3, 83)



6.7% of all US adults experienced at least one MDD episode in 2015.



12-month Prevalence of Major Depressive Episode Among U.S. Adults (2015)



Source: Major Depression Among Adults. (n.d.). Retrieved Oct 31, 2017, 6 from https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/prevalence/majordepression-among-adults.shtml

| Disabling | • #2 cause of disability (WHO) |
|--------------------|---|
| Exacerbating | Symptom burden; Course of illness; Clinical outcome Adherence to self care; Satisfaction |
| Costly | Outpt visits; ED; Hosp; Pharm; LOS; Readmission 50-100% higher health care costs |
| Deadly | Over 30,000 suicides / year (38-76% of completers saw their PMD in prior mo) |
| Treatment Works | Therapy; Medications Behavioral interventions; Self-Care |



Screening for Depression in Adults

US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement

DESCRIPTION Update of the 2009 US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommendation on screening for depression in adults.

METHODS The USPSTF reviewed the evidence on the benefits and harms of screening for depression in adult populations, including older adults and pregnant and postpartum women; the accuracy of depression screening instruments; and the benefits and harms of depression treatment in these populations.

POPULATION This recommendation applies to adults 18 years and older.

RECOMMENDATION The USPSTF recommends screening for depression in the general adult population, including pregnant and postpartum women. Screening should be implemented with adequate systems in place to ensure accurate diagnosis, effective treatment, and appropriate follow-up. (B recommendation)

JAMA. 2016;315(4):380-387. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.18392

CEDARS-SINAI

*Grade B: The USPSTF recommends the service. There is high certainty that the net benefit is moderate, or there is moderate certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial.



- Why screen for depression?
- Why screen for depression in general medical hospitals?
- What are the regulatory requirements around depression and suicide?
- Case Example: Cedars-Sinai
- Future directions



| | Community | Primary Care | General Hospital | |
|------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Any Disorder | 16% | 21-26% | 30-40% | |
| Major Depression | 2-6% | 5-14% | 8-18% | |
| Panic | 0.5% | 11% | *** | |
| Somatization | 0.1-0.5% | 2.8-5% | 2-9% | |
| Delirium | 1% | *** | 15-30% | |
| Substance Use | 2.8% | 10-30% | 20-50% | |



Depression is associated with increased cost of care

Claims expenditures for 6,500 Medicaid patients with and without MH/SUD service use



Prevalence of depression across other medical conditions



Point Prevalence of Major Depressive Disorder

COS

CEDARS-SINAI®

SOURCE: Sadock BJ et al. Kaplan and Sadock's Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry (9th ed.) Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: 2009; Psychosomatic Medicine; Sg2 Analysis, 2010

| Cardiovascular Illness | Impact of Depression |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Coronary artery disease | 40% 🛧 risk of cardiac events |
| Unstable angina | 3x 🛉 of cardiac death at 1year |
| Post-MI | 4-6x 🛧 mortality |
| Congestive heart failure | 50% survival vs. 78% survival |



CEDARS-SINAI®

Single greatest predictor of cardiac death over 5 years is depression score in hospital after heart attack



Long-term survival (days post-discharge) after myocardial infarction (MI) in relation to Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) score during hospitalization



EDARS-SINAL

Source: Lespérance F, et al. Five-year risk of cardiac mortality in relation to initial severity and one-year changes in depression symptoms after myocardial infarction. Circulation. 2002

Screening for depression in hospitalized medical patients (Review of publications)



ournal of

• Addressed two questions:

- -Performance of depression screening tools in gen hospital
- -Associations between depression and patient outcomes
 - PRISMA Guidelines; 1990-2016

• Findings

- -20 Studies Assessed prevalence and validity
 - Prevalence 34% (15-60% range)
 - Sensitivity 78%; Specificity 80%
- -12 Studies Assessed outcomes
 - Increased 30d readmission
 - Increased LOS
 - Increased morbidity/mortality
 - Decreased QOL

• Overall

-Diverse instruments used; Brief instruments had good performance

- -Mental health training not necessary
- -Screening not particularly burdensome to patients or staff

CEDARS-SINAL

IsHak WW, Collison K, Danovitch I, Shek L, Kharazi P, Kim T, Jaffer KY, Naghdechi L, Lopez E, Nuckols T. Screening for depression in hospitalized medical patients. J Hosp Med. 2017 Feb;12(2):118-125.

General Medical Hospitals represent a significant opportunity to identify and treat depression

Failure to Detect, Diagnose, and Treat

- Only 13% of eligible patients have antidepressants begun in the hospital
- Only 11% of untreated depressions will begin treatment during the year after discharge

Post-Discharge Impact

- Increased risk of all-cause re-hospitalization
- Increased mortality in MI; Stroke

Sentinel Events

- Suicide is among the Top 5 sentinel events in The Joint Commission's database



- Why screen for depression?
- Why screen for depression in general medical hospitals?
- What are the regulatory requirements around depression and suicide?
- Case Example: Cedars-Sinai
- Future directions





| Joint Commission requirements related to detecting and treating patients with suicide ideation | Hospital | Ambulatory | Behavioral health | Home care | Nursing care center | Office-based surgery |
|--|----------|------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Care, Treatment, and Services | | | | | | |
| CTS.02.01.01 | | | ~ | | | |
| Environment of Care | | | | | | |
| EC.02.01.01 | | | ~ | | | |
| EC.02.06.01 | ~ | | | | | |
| National Patient Safety Goal | | | | | | |
| NPSG.15.01.01, EPs 1, 2, 3 | ~ | | ~ | | | |
| Performance Improvement | | | | | | |
| PI.01.01.01 | | | ~ | | | |
| Provision of Care, Treatment, and Servi | ces | | | | | |
| PC.01.01.01 EP 24 | ~ | | | | | |
| PC.01.02.01 | ~ | | | | | |
| PC.01.02.13 | ~ | | | | | |
| PC.04.01.01 | ~ | ~ | | ~ | ~ | ~ |



- Suicide is among the Top 5 sentinel events in The Joint Commission's database.
- "The Joint Commission will place added emphasis on the assessment of ligature, suicide and self-harm observations in...inpatient psychiatric patient areas in general hospitals" (March 1, 2017)



Actions suggested by The Joint Commission

Detecting SI in Acute Care Settings

Review each patient's personal and family medical history for suicide risk factors.

Screen all patients for suicide ideation, using a brief, standardized, evidencebased screening tool. Review screening questionnaires before the patient leaves the appointment or is discharged.



Taking Immediate Action and Safety Planning

Use assessment results to implement specific safety measures

EDARS-SINAI

Establish a collaborative, ongoing, and systematic assessment and treatment process with the patient involving the patient's other providers, family and friends as appropriate. To improve outcomes for at-risk patients, develop treatment and discharge plans that directly target suicidality.

Education and Documentation

Educate all staff in patient care settings about how to identify and respond to patients with suicide ideation. Document decisions regarding the care and referral of patients with suicide risk.



Source: Sentinel Event Alert, Issue 56, February 24, 2016 (https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/SEA_56_Suicide.pdf)

Risk Factors for Suicide in Hospitals

| Patient Risk Factors | Mental or emotional disorders Previous Suicide attempts or history of self-inflicted injury Suicidal thoughts or behaviors History of Trauma Drug or alcohol abuse Chronic or intense acute pain; Chronic medical disability Prescribed medications, including those known to cause behavioral changes Social isolation or antisocial behavior Social stressors |
|-------------------------|---|
| Physical Environment | Unsecured environment, such as access to stairways and unsecured windows Ability of visitors to bring in contraband Opportunities to be alone without supervision (e.g. bathrooms, closets) Access to anchor points for hanging Access to materials that can be used for self-harm (e.g. sharps, sheets, plastic bags, etc.) |
| Systemic Care | Inadequate care planning and observation Inadequate screening and assessment Insufficient staff orientation and training Inadequate staffing, including lack of one-on-one sitters for suicidal patients when necessary Lack of information about suicide prevention and referral resources Poor staff communication |



HEDIS Depression Measures - Electronic Clinical Data

Depression Screening and Follow-up for Adolescents and Adults (DSF) • NQF 0418, 0418:3132

Utilization of the PHQ-9 to Monitor Depression Symptoms for Adolescents and Adults (DMS)

• NQF 0712

Depression Remission or Response for Adolescents and Adults • NQF 0711 and 1884

*All measures are found in HEDIS 2018 Volume 2





Source: NCQA (http://www.ncqa.org/hedis-quality-measurement/hedis-learning-collaborative/hedisdepression-measures) 21

Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Quality Measures

Preventative Care and Screening: Screening for Depression and Follow-Up
eMeasure ID: CMS2v6, Quality ID: 134, High Priority Measure: No

Depression Remission at Six Months

• eMeasure ID: N/A, Quality ID: 411, High Priority Measure: Yes

Depression Remission at Twelve Months

• eMeasure ID: CMS159v5, Quality ID: 370, High Priority Measure: Yes

Bipolar Disorder and Major Depression: Appraisal for Alcohol or Chemical Substance

• eMeasure ID: CMS169v5, Quality ID: 367, High Priority Measure: No

Depression Utilization of the PHQ-9 Tool

• eMeasure ID: CMS160v5, Quality ID: 371, High Priority Measure: No

Maternal Depression Screening

EDABS-SINAL

• eMeasure ID: CMS82v4, Quality ID: 372, High Priority Measure: No



22

COS

- Why screen for depression?
- Why screen for depression in general medical hospitals?
- What are the regulatory requirements around depression and suicide?
- Case Example: Cedars-Sinai
- Future directions



Need: In Spring 2014, Cedars-Sinai launched a hospital-wide depression screening initiative. This was prompted by recognition that untreated depression leads to poorer health outcomes and affects treatment compliance for patient with medical illnesses, as well as a reorganization of mental health services within the medical center.

Cedars-Sinai Medical Center by the numbers:

- 886 licensed beds
- 58,000 inpatient admissions
- 90,000 emergency visits
- 254,668 patient days
- Over 15,000 employees
- 2,758 nurses
- 2,156 medical staff





Emergency Department Pavilion

- 3 bed unit within the Emergency Department
- Staff: dedicated nurse, mental health worker, and security guard
- Patients who require constant observation are placed in this unit

Designated Inpatient Unit (Safety Quad)

- 4 bed unit on our inpatient floors
- Staff: dedicated security guard and nursing staff that are trained and experienced to treat patients with behavioral disorders
- Patients who exhibit assaultive behaviors are placed in this unit due to the skilled staff in the unit

Non-Designated Inpatient Units

- Patients with secondary behavioral health diagnosis may be placed in any other unit within the hospital
- Special safety precautions are enacted for individuals who screen for suicidal ideation



Depression Screening Work Flow: Cedars-Sinai



Case Example: Cedars-Sinai





Upon admission, the RN is presented with 2 primary screening questions related to depression:

| Depression Screen (PHQ-2) | |
|---|--------------|
| During the past month, have you often been bothered by having little interest or | No Yes 💽 🔟 🕟 |
| pleasure in doing things? | |
| During the past month, have you often been bothered by feeling down, | No Yes 🚺 🕅 |
| depressed, or hopeless | |

- A "No" answer to both questions would end the screen.
- A "Yes" answer to either question would cascade to the PHQ-9 depression screening questions (next slide).



Nursing Depression / Suicide Risk Screen: PHQ9

| Depression / Suicide Risk Screen (PHQ-9) | |
|--|--|
| In the last 2 weeks have you had little interest or pleasure in doing things. | 0 = Not At All 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every |
| In the last 2 weeks have you been feeling down, depressed or hopeless. | 0 = Not A t All 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every |
| In the last 2 weeks have you had trouble falling asleep, staying asleep or sleeping too much. | 0 = Not A t A II 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every |
| In the last 2 weeks have you been feeling tired or having little energy. | 0 = Not At All 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every |
| In the last 2 weeks have you have you had a poor appetite or been overeating. | 0 = Not At All 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every |
| In the last 2 weeks have you been feeling bad abouit yourself – or that you'r a failure or have let yourself or family down. | 0 = Not At All 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every |
| In the last 2 weeks have you had trouble concentrating on things, such as reading the newpaper or watching television. | 0 = Not At All 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every |
| In the last 2 weeks have you been moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed. Or, the opposite – been so fidgety or restless that you have been moving around a lot more than usual. | 0 = Not A t A II 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every |
| In the last 2 weeks have you had thouths that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way. | 0 = Not At AI 1 = Several Days 2 = More than Half the Days 3 = Nearly Every * For any response other than "Not At AII", immediately initiate suicide precautions and initiate a Suicide Risk Plan of Care, notify physician and recommend consideration of a psychiatry consult. |
| PHQ-9 Score | |
| It score > 12, contact PMD. A score > 10 has great validity for deal | ression; a score > 12 is indicative and sensitve to suicidality. |

| / | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| PHQ-9 Total | score > 12, imr | nediately notity PMD a | nd implement Depres | ssion Plan of Care | | |
| Add to C | are Plan: DEPRES | SION | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

A PHQ-9 score would be calculated. A score > 12 would produce this BPA. The Depression POC would be added to the patient's care plan.



Nursing Suicide Risk Screen: PHQ9—question 9

Suicide Risk Screen – "In the last 2 weeks, have you had thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way?"



Secondary Suicide Risk Assessment: 1) Suicide inquiry; 2) Risk Factors; 3) Protective Factors



Secondary Suicide Risk Assessment and Documentation

- Clinical Assessment
 - -Suicide Inquiry; Risk Factors; Protective Factors;
 - -Risk Determination; Intervention
- SAFE-T (Suicide Assessment Five-step Evaluation and Triage)
- C-SSRS (Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale)



NATIONAL SUICIDE PREVENTION LIFELINE 1.800.273.TALK (8255)

RISK LEVEL/INTERVENTION

CEDARS-SINAL

- Assessment of risk level is based on clinical judgment, after completing steps 1-3
- Reassess as patient or environmental circumstances change

| RISK LEVEL | RISK / PROTECTIVE FACTOR | SUICIDALITY | POSSIBLE INTERVENTIONS | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|
| High | Psychiatric disorders with severe symptoms, or acute precipitating event; protective factors not relevant | Potentially lethal suicide attempt or persistent ideation with strong intent or suicide rehearsal | Admission generally indicated unless a significant change reduces risk. Suicide precautions | |
| Moderate | Multiple risk factors, few protective factors | Suicidal ideation with plan, but no intent or behavior | Admission may be necessary depending on risk factors. Develop crisis plan. Give emergency/crisis numbers | |
| Low | Modifiable risk factors, strong protective factors | Thoughts of death, no plan, intent or behavior | Outpatient referral, symptom reduction. Give emergency/crisis numbers | |

(This chart is intended to represent a range of risk levels and interventions, not actual determinations.)

DOCUMENT Risk level and rationale; treatment plan to address/reduce current risk (e.g., setting, medication, psychotherapy, E.C.T., contact with significant others, consultation); firearm instructions, if relevant; follow up plan. For youths, treatment plan should include roles for parent/guardian.



Adapted from: SAMHSA SAFE-T (Suicide Assessment Five-step Evaluation and Triage) www.sprc.com

Special Precautions for Patients Identified with Suicidal Ideation

| een taken to n | been identified to nodify the patient's | be high risk for suicidal behavior, and the following steps listed below have s direct environment of care: | |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------|
| Completed | Responsible Party | Item | |
| | Security & Nursing | Patient searched and all belongings removed from patient | |
| | Security & Nursing | Patient belongings have been labelled, itemized and safely stored in Security's patient belonging locker | |
| | Nursing | Notified EVS / Housekeeping of high risk patient by calling x3-4444 & indicate to complete behavioral health room safety checklist | |
| | Nursing | Notified nutritional services of high risk patient by calling x3-4528 for disposable tray order. (Leave message if not answered.) | |
| | Nursing | Patient's clothes, shoes / laces, and jewelry removed and dressed in hospital gown. If patient is wearing a bra, must be removed also. Send valuable items (jewelry, money wallet, narcotic medication brought from home) to Security. Lock regular items in the patient belonging lockers. | |
| | Nursing | Placed door designation signage | |
| | Nursing | Removed unnecessary IV poles | CAEETV |
| | Nursing | Removed any unnecessary medical equipment | |
| | Nursing | Removed telephone & telephone cord o Supervised phone calls only with either sitter or nurse | |
| | Nursing | Locked all cabinets with zip ties | |
| | Nursing | Requested safety soap from supply management | DDECAIITIANS |
| | Clinical Partner | Change bed linens to flat sheets only | FREGAUTIONS |
| | Sitter / MHW | Disposable Utensil Counts: BEFORE entering the room | |
| | Sitter / MHW | Disposable Utensil Counts: AFTER entering the room | |
| | EVS | Removed plastic trashcan liners & replace with paper liners | |
| | EVS | Removed any extra items from closets | Diago Soo Nureo |
| | EVS | Removed rubber gloves from cage | ricase vee nuise |
| | EVS | Removed hand sanitizer from cage & soap in bathroom | |
| | EVS | Removed any extra bed linen from the room | Drior to Entering |
| | EVS | Removed any extra chairs | FINI LU LILLETING |
| | | Provide the term of the sector sector is | |

version 10/2017



Nursing Role





Social Work Role





Physician Role





Implementation Metrics & Positive Screening Volumes

- Since tracking in January 2015, every month has consistently had **93%-95%** complete depression screenings of total admissions.
 - There is a small percentage of "unable to assess" due to circumstances where the patient is unable to provide answers (ie. Trauma, delirium, etc.)



Secondary suicide assessment

•Goal: Documentation of 2° risk assessment on every patient with +SI



- *July 18th flowsheet rows were added for nursing documentation of additional questions for patients who screen positive for suicidality.
- Compliance with documentation or risk assessment had been 15-62%. (Nurses were expected to document in a progress note). After addition of flowsheet rows compliance increased to 100%

- False negatives
 - Some patients screen negative on admission and are identified later in their hospital stay when they present with depressive symptoms

• Timing of screening

 Admission not always optimal time to screen (Ex: L&D moved screening to after delivery, and saw improvement in fidelity)

• Workflows

- Short LOS cases may be discharged without a SW consult even though there is an order due to timing of discharge; Obs; Weekends
- 2°suicide risk assessments not done on all patients until automation in EMR last year
- Heterogeneity of assessment and intervention
 - Comfort/training RN administering tool
 - Variability of MD & SW skills/approach
- Care coordination
 - After-care arrangements; Level of care transfers

Mission

 Provide high-quality, compassionate, patient-centered, holistic health care

Value

- •ALOS for PHQ9+ patients had experienced a 6.2% reduction in days over 3 fiscal years
- Reduction in 30 & 90 day readmission rates

Intangibles

- No sentinel events
- Patient and provider experience



- Why screen for depression?
- Why screen for depression in general medical hospitals?
- What are the regulatory requirements around depression and suicide?
- Case Example: Cedars-Sinai
- Future directions



How to deliver coordinated care across a system?







How to deliver a continuum of care? (Community Partnerships)



Acute Psych Facilities Fed Gen Acute Care Hosp General Acute Care Hosp Psych Health Facility



CEDARS-SINAL

Integrating Care is as important as Delivering Care



Emerging technological solutions



NOTE: Virtual conferencing is defined as clinician-to-clinician consults, whereas virtual consults are provider-to-patient consults.



CEDARS-SINAL®

SOURCE: Sg2 Analysis, 2016

CEDARS-SINAL®

Innovation in the behavioral health technology space has increased with the advent of mobile apps for a wide range of mental health disorders.

| 2 | 🗜 HOME 🔍 SEARCH | | | RCH | | | | The Neu | 1 York T | imes | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| SEPTEMBER 22, 2015 THE WALL ST | | | | | | | ALL ST | REET JOU | RNAL. | | | Subscribe Now Sigr |
| me | | World | U.S. | Politics | Economy | Business | Tech | Markets | Opinion | Life & Arts | Real Estate | WSJ. Magazine |
| ŀ | IEA | ^T <u>JMIR</u> L Publis | <u>Ment He</u> hed onli | e <u>alth</u> . 2016 . ne 2016 Ma | lan-Mar; 3(1): ir 1. doi: <u>10.21</u> | e7. <u>96/mental.49</u> | <u>84</u> | | | | P | MCID: PMC4795320 |
| h | e | alth | lin | e | | | | De | pression 🗸 | Topics & | Tools 🗸 | Newsletter 5 |
| 7 | Writ Mec | ten by Carol lically Review | PEE | Bes | t De | | 255 | sion | Ap 0 0 | ops (⊚⊠⊆ | of th | e Year |
| | | BBS | |), FID(FS | ych) | - | - | - | - | - | _ | _ |



"Whoa—way too much information."



Questions?



cedars-sinai.edu